BY FAX

Melvin B. Peariston (SBN 54291)
Robert B. Hancock (SBN 179436)
Elizabeth D. Sonnichsen (SBN 321131)
PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER
50 California Street, Suite 1500
San Francisco, CA 9411:
Tel: (415) 310-1940
Fax: (415) 354-3508
rob@rbhancocklaw.com

FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

OCT 25208

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Christle Orling

Attorneys for Plaintiff
AMY CHAMBERLIN

12 13

14

15 16

17

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

AMY CHAMBERLIN, in the public interest,
Plaintiff,

Case No. RG18913240

Leroposedi Stipulated Consent Judgment

[Cal. Health and Safety Code Sec. 25249.6, et. seq.]

CHURCH & DWIGHT CO., INC.,

Defendant.

23 24

26 27 28

25

[PROPOSED] STIPUATED CONSENT JUDGIENT CHIEF JAV. Chief & Dwift CA. Lie

1.1. This Action arises out of alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. (also known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the Defendant's FelinePine 100% Natural Pine Original Non-Clumping Litter and FelinePine 100% Natural Pine Clumping Litter products (the "Covered Products").

- 1.2. Plaintiff Amy Chamberlin ("Chamberlin") Is a California resident acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65. Chamberlin alleges that she brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to Proposition 65 and asserts that she is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3. Defendant Church & Dwight Co., Inc. is a Delaware corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" or "Church & Dwight."
- 1.4. Chamberlin and Church & Dwight are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
 - 1.5. Church & Dwight manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 2.6. Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), Chamberlin served on the California Attorney General, other designated public enforcers, and Church & Dwight two 60-Day Notices of Violation of Proposition 65 related to the Covered Products, the first on or about December 5, 2017 regarding FelinePine 100% Natural Pine Original Non-Clumping Litter (the "First Notice of Violation") and the second on or about August 24, 2013 regarding FelinePine 100% Natural Pine Clumping Litter (the "Second Notice of Violation") (collectively, the "Notices of Violation").
- 1.7. More than sixty (60) days have passed since service of the First Notice of Violation, and no public enforcer designated under Proposition 65 has filed a complaint against Church & Dwight with regard to the Covered Products or alleged violations set out in the First Notice of Violation.

1.S. Upon the Parties' execution of this Proposed Stipulated Consent Judgment, ... Chamberlin will file a complaint against Church & Dwight for injunctive relief and civil penalties, based on the allegations in the First Notice of Violation (the "Complaint").

1.9. The Parties stipulate that, upon the expiration of the 60-day notice period for the Second Notice of Violation with no objection or intervention by any public enforcer designated under Proposition 65, the Complaint may be deemed amended to include the allegations in the Second Notice of Violation.

1.10. The Complaint will allege that Church & Dwight manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Products, which Chamberlia alleges contain wood dust, a substance listed under Proposition 65 as being known by the State of California to cause cencer and, Chamberlin alleges, requiring a Proposition 65 warning. Further, the Complaint will allege that the use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to wood dust without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Sofety Code Section 25249.6. Church & Dwight denies any wrongdoing, any liability and all material and factual allegations of the Notices of Violation and the Complaint, and specifically denies that Chamberlin or California consumers have been harmed or damaged by the Covered Products. Church & Dwight and Chamberlin each reserve all rights to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment.

1.11. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and cortly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute of he construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any

1.12. The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court as a Judgment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2

3

5

б

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

Į9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

For the purposes of seeking entry of the Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein,

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS

- 3.1. Beginning on the Effective Date, and subject to Section 3.2 below, Church & Dwight shall be permanently enjoined from offering for sale to a consumer in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or "Distributing into California" any of the Covered Products unless the packaging or container of the Covered Products contains a Proposition 65 compliant warning. consistent with Section 3.3 below. "Distributing into California" means to ship any of the Covered Products to California for sale or to sell any of the Covered Products in California. Provided, however, that Church & Dwight may manufacture or package and sell Covered Products without providing a Proposition 65 compliant warning so long as such products are only for sale to consumers located outside of California and Church & Dwight does not distribute them into California.
- All Covered Products that have been or will have been produced, distributed, shipped, sold, or placed in the stream of commerce through and including 60 days after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment are exempt from the provisions of Sections 3.1 and 3.3 and are included within the releases in Section 8. For the avoidance of doubt, Church & Dwight may sell off, without date restriction, Covered Products using labels/packaging without the warning of Section 3.3.1 that were printed or ordered to be printed through and including 60 days after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgement, and such Covered Products are exempt from the provisions of Sections 3.1 and 3.3 and are included within the releases in Section 6; all labels/packaging for the Covered Products

IPROPOSED) STIPUATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Chamborilla v. Charek & Dwight Co., Inc.

3

5

printed or ordered to be printed after 60 days of the Effective Data shall contain the warning of Section 3.3.1.

-3.3. Clear and Regsonable Wernings

3.3.1. For the Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirements of Section 3.1, Church & Dwight shall provide the following warning (the "Washing"):

WARNING: This product contains wood dust, which is known by the State of California to cause cancer.

3.3.2. The Warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Products. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness on the outside packaging or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use.

3.3.3. If the Warning is printed directly onto the labeling of a Covered Product, the Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product packaging or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters. If printed on the labeling itself, the Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Covered Products. At its option, Church & Dwight may comply with this Section 3.3.3. by including the Warning in the same paragraph as other safety warnings, as demonstrated in the following label execute, provided by way of example only and not as the exclusive manner of complying with Section 3.3:

Please wash hands thoroughly after handling used cat litter. We want to remind pregnant women and those with suppressed immune systems, that a parasite sometimes found in cut feces can emiss toxoglasmosis. WARNING: This product contains wood dux, which is known by the State of California to cause cancer.

3.4. Any label of a Covered Product including the Warning described in Section 3.3 shall be deemed to give "clear and reasonable warning," as such term is used in California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6, and shall be deemed to be a Proposition 65 compliant warning.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

(PROPOSED) STIPUATED CONSENT JUDGMENT CAUSETID & COOKS & Donal Co. Dic.

	4.1.	Church & Dwight shall make a total payment of \$65,000 (the "Settlement Amount"
within		enty) business days of the Effective Detn. Payment of the Settlement Amount shall b
		al satisfaction of any and all civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, and
	ys' fees	

- 4.2. The payment will be in the form of four separate checks sent to counsel for Plaintiff, Robert B. Hancock, Pacific Justice Center, 50 California Street, Suite 1500, San Francisco, California, 94111. The checks shall be payable to the following parties and the payment shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2.1. \$17,500 as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(2). Of this amount, \$13,125 shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), \$3,231 shall be payable to CHAMBERLIN, and \$1,094 shall be payable to CancerCare, a qualified 501(c)(3) charitable organization, dedicated to providing financial aid to cancer patients for treatment costs. CHAMBERLIN hereby waives any statutory entitlement to penalties in excess of \$3,281.
- 4.2.2. \$47,500 payable to Robert B. Hancock ("Hancock") as reimbursement of CHAMBERLIN's attorneys' fees, costs, and investigation and litigation expenses ("Attorney's Fees and Costs").
- 4.3. 'Chamberlin shall provide to counse! for Church & Dwight a completed Internal Revenue Service Form W-9 for each of OEHHA, Chamberlin, CancerCure, and Hancock. In the event Chamberlin does not provide the Forms W-9 described in this Section 4.3 before the Effective Date, Church & Dwight's payment of the Settlement Amount shall not be due until 10 (ten) business days after its counsel's receipt of those Forms W-9.
- 4.4. Any failure by Church & Dwight to remit payment of the foregoing payments on or before its due date shall be deemed a material breach of this Agreement, entitling Chemberlin to rescind. In such event, the Parties agree to cooperate in taking any and all steps necessary to vacate and set aside any Judgment or dismissal entered.

ı

2<u>1</u>,

5.1. This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and upon having such stipulation entered as a modified Consent Judgment by the Court; or (ii) Upon entry of a modified Judgment by the Court pursuant to a motion by one of the Parties after exhausting the meet and confer process set forth in Section 5.2.

- 5.2. If either Party requests or initiates a modification of the Consent Order, then it shall meet and confer with the other Party in good faith before filing a motion with the Court seeking to modify it. If, despite their meet and confer efforts, the Parties are unable to reach agreement on any proposed modification, the party stacking the modification may file the appropriate motion and the prevailing party on such motion shall be entitled recover its reasonable fees and costs associated with such motion.
- 5.3. Potential bases for Church & Dwight's sceking modification of the Consent Judgment, but not the exclusive bases, would be if Proposition 65 is narrowed, limited, or otherwise rendered inapplicable in whole or in part to the Covered Products or to wood dust, due to legislative change, a change in the implementing regulations, court decision, or other legal basis or if it becomes necessary under Proposition 55 to include warnings for other chemicals end/or to warn of potential reproductive herm.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or turminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2. Subject to Section 6.3, any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- 6.3. Before filing a motion or application for an order to show cause, Chamberlin shall provide Church & Dwight with 30 (thirty) days' written notice of any alleged violations of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. As long Church & Dwight cures any such alleged violations within the 30-day period (or if any such violation cannot practicably be cured

(PROPOSED) STIPUATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Charles in v. Charle 4 Dwield Co., inc.

within 30 days, it expeditiously initiates a cure within 30 days and completes it as soon as practicable) and Church & Dwight provides proof to Chamberlin that the alleged violation(s) was the result of good faith mistake or accident, then Church & Dwight shall not be in violation of the Consent Judgment. Church & Dwight shall have the ability to avail itself of the benefits of this Section 6.3 two (2) times, following the Effective Date.

APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties and their respective officers, directors, successors, and assigns, and it shall benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

BINDING EFTECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Chamberlin, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, and Church & Dwight, of any and all direct or derivative violations (or claimed violations) of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 wernings of exposure to wood dust from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this Action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding wood dust.
- 8.2. Chamberlin, on behelf of herself and in the public interest, hereby forever releases and discharges, Church & Dwight and its past and present officers, affiliates, directors, owners, shareholders, employees, agents, attorneys, suppliers, franchisets, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities and persons in the distribution chain of any Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, the "Released Parties") from any and all claims and causes of action and obligations to pay damages, restitution, fines, civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties and expenses (including but not limited to expert analysis fees, expert fees, attorney's fees and costs) (collectively, "Claims") arising under, based on, or derivenive of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up

. .

through the Effective Date relating to actual or potential exposure to wood dust from the Covered Products and/or failure to warn about wood dust, as set forth in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint.

- 5.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Preposition 55 regarding alleged exposures to wood dust from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations and the Complaint.
- 3.4. It is possible that other Claims against the Released Parties arising out of events or conduct occurring before the Effective Date not presently known to Chamberlin will develop or be discovered. Chamberlin, on behalf of hercelf only, acknowledges that the Claims released herein by her included all known and unknown Claims and waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER VAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Chamberlin, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542.

8.5. Chamberlin, on the one hand, and Church & Dwight, on the other hand, each release and waive all Claims they may have against each other as of the Effective Date. However, this shall rate of this Consent Judgment.

CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABLITY

- 9.1. The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- 9.2. In the event that the Complaint cannot be deemed to be amended pursuant to Section 1.9 either because a public enforcer designated under Proposition 65 objects or intervenes or because

26

27 28 the Court rejects such amendment for any other reason, this Consent Judgment shall remain in effect as to the allegations in the First Notice of Violation regarding FelinePine 100% Natural Pine Ciumping Litter, without adjustment to the monetary or injunctive relief provisions contained in Sections 3 and 4. In such event, references herein to the "Covered Products" shall mean only FelinePine 100% Natural Pine Chumping Litter and references herein to "Notices of Violation" shall mean only the First Notice of Violation.

- 9.3. In the event that, after entry of the Conzent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9.4. The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Colifornia.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (2) first-class, registered, certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery:

For Chamberlin:

Melvin B. Pearlston Robert B. Hancock PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94111

For Church & Dwight:

Baldassare Vinti PROSKAUER ROSE LLP Eloven Times Square New York, NY 10036

and

Church & Dwight Co, Inc. Princeton South Corporate Park 500 Charles Ewing Bouleverd Ewing, NJ 08628 Atm: General Counsel

8

10

12 13

14 15

16

18

26 27

22

11.1. Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Chemberlin shall file the Complaint and shall notice a Motion for Court Approval of this Consent Judgment. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment

11.2. If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the Motion for Court Approval.

11.3. Pending the Court's determination of the Motion for Court Approval, the Parties agree not to prosecute this Action, except to file the Complaint and an Answer. Specifically, the Parties agree not to engage in any discovery or motion practice, other than as necessary to support entry of this Consent Judgment, until such time as the Court either enters the Consent Judgment or denies the Motion for Court Approval.

11.4. If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment, the parties shall have the option of (a) proceeding to try and resolve the matter amicably, or (b) determining that the Settlement is null and void and of no force or effect, in which event, all payment-related obligations set forth in Section 3 above shell be deemed nover to have existed and the parties thereafter may proceed on their own accord.

EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or .pdf signeture shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

13.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other then those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, thall be deeined to exist or to bind any Party.

_ E	13.2. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or site is fully entharized by							
2	the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided							
3	herein, each Party shall bear its own fees ead costs.							
4	M. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL							
5	14.1. This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon request of the Perties. The							
6	Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding							
7	the matters which are the subject of this action, to:							
8	(a) Order that the Complaint be desired smarried to include the allegations in the							
9	Second Notice of Violation;							
10	(b) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good							
11	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint as extended, that the matter							
12	has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and							
13	(c) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section							
14	25249.7(f)(4) and approve the Settlement and this Consent Judgment.							
15								
16	it is so stipuated.							
17								
18	Deted: 8/27/2019							
19								
20	Arny Chamberlin							
21	190 100 / 100							
22	Dated: 8/29/2015 Church & Dwight Co., Inc.							
23	By: Mune Parply							
24	Me Associal Carnel Cornel & Agod. Standary							
25	ASSECT CONTRACT & ASSECT							
26								
27	#2 Y ₄ .ce							
40								
	PROPOSED STIPUATED CONSENT JUDGMENT							
11	Chimbeille v. Chareb & Dwige Co. Inc.							

Ą.

JUDGMENT

Based up on the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.

Dated:	10	کر(/	2018
table of			ZU10

 Judge of the Superior Court JULIA A. SPAIN

PROPOSED| STEPUATED CONSENT JUDGMENT: Chemister & Court & Dresh Co. 144